

3:11.83

## HRA an USIUN The Gazette of India

## प्राधिकार ते प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

tio 28]

नई विल्ली, शनिवार, अन्तूबर 15, 1983/आशिवन 23, 1905

No. 28)

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1983/ASVINA 23, 1905

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या वो जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II--- खण्ड 3--- उप-खण्ड (iii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

(संघ 'राज्य क्षेत्र प्रकासनों को छोड़कर) केम्ब्रीय अधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किए गए आवेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than Administrations of Union
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नर्ड विल्ली, 19 सितम्बर, 1983

आ. अ. 84. — लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग, 1980 की निर्वाचन अजीं मं. 11 में दिया गया उच्च न्यायालय, पटना का तारीस 24 अगस्त, 1983 का आदेश प्रकाशित करता है।

[मं 32/विहार-लो . म . /11/83]

आदेश सं,

धर्मवीर, अवर सचिव भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

EIECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 19th September, 1983

O.N. 84.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby publishes the order dated the 24th August, 1983 of the High Court of Judicature at Patna in E.P. No. 11 of 1980.

[No.82]BR[11]83] DHARAM VIR, Under Secy. Election Commission of India IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA Election Petition No. 11 of 1980

Sri Ram Sevak Hazari....

Petitioner

Versus

Sri Baleshwar Ram & Ots...... ...

Respondents.

It appears that by order dated 7-12-1982 two weeks' time was allowed to the petitioner to file list of witnesses. Again time was allowed till 24th January, 1983 by order 3-1-1983, but there was no compliance. On 24-1-1983 also time was allowed. On 30-6-1983 none appeared and the case was adjourned to 4-7-1983 for hearing. On 26-7-1983, the petitioner was directed to produce witnesses on 16-8-1983. On 16-8-1983 none appeared on behalf of the petitioner although the case was fixed for hearing in presence of the learned Advocate for the petitioner. The petitioner was also directed to file list of witnesses and to comply with order no. 46 dated 10-11-1982. On 16-8-1983, last chance was given to the petitioner to take necessary steps and the case was adjounned to today for hearing. Even today the petitioner has not appeared either personally or through his lawyer. The learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 is present.

In such circumstances, the election petition is dismissed for non-prosecution, but without costs to respondent no.1.

NAZIR AHMAD 24-8-83

845 GI/83-1

(255)

. . \*